# L. Form Letter 1 Submittals

# **APPENDIX L**

# Form Letter 1 Submittals

**Note:** All Form Letter 1 submittals are presented in Appendix L to capture any slight variations to the form letter. All Form Letter 2 submittals were identical and are therefore not included in Appendix L. A list of all commenters who submitted Form Letter 1 and Form Letter 2 is shown in Chapter 11 in Table 11.7.



## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

keren abra <abra2@mindspring.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:55 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I had hoped to attend the hearing on the Tuolomne River plan at City Hall with the Sierra Club recently, and greatly regret that I couldn't do so. My presence may not have made a difference, but I'm expressing to you now my very strong opposition to further diversion of its waters.

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the river does not address all the environmental impacts that diversion would cause. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Keren Abra

(415)587-5281 (home) 143 Judson Ave, San Francisco, CA 94112



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

#### **Tuolomne water diversion**

Thomas Adams <alfadams@earthlink.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 4:30 AM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Tom Adams 2059 Golden Gate Avenue, No. 2 San Francisco, California 94115



# (no subject)

Big City Business Forms <sales@bigcitybf.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:55 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water. Sincerely,

Karen Boudreaux 333 Melrose Ave San Francisco, CA 94127

Big City Business Forms, Inc. sales@bigcitybf.com

http://www.bigcitybf.com

5. 5818 Mission Street San Francisco, CA 94112 415-452-1000 tel / 415-452-1007 fax

5

#### RECEIVED

SEP 2 6 2007

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.

Katie Bramlett 1044 High Street Palo Alto, CA 94301 650/566-1140

September 24, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document. I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Katie Bramlett



## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Eric Brooks <br/>
<br/>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:15 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Paul:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne River before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Eric Brooks SF, CA 94109



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## **WSIP PEIR**

# Susan Burgenbauch <susanb@stanford.edu> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Sep 24, 2007 at 2:24 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tundumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Susan Burgenbauch 277 Andsbury Ave. Mt. View, CA 94043



## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Leslie Chew <lesliejchew@yahoo.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Oct 3, 2007 at 12:13 AM Nick Colin <nick

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.



Leslie Chew 1771 27th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122

Got a little couch potato?
Check out fun summer activities for kids.



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Nick Colin <nickcolin@gmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:06 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Nick Colin 122 Coleridge Street San Francisco, CA 94110



# **Comments on WSIP**

John Cordes <johncordes@yahoo.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Sat, Sep 29, 2007 at 4:14 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document. I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area. Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Sincerely, Mr John G. Cordes 550 East Arbor Ave Sunnyvale Ca. 94085 johncordes@yahoo.co





#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# **Tuolumne river**

# Colette Crutcher <kramm51@earthlink.net> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 9:03 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I am concerned about the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River. It fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

We must protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Colette Crutcher 316 Highland Ave San Francisco, CA 94110

# **Leave Tuolumne Alone and Stop the Sprawl**

1 message

#### Michael Duncan & Tom Richard <a href="mailto:bernalites@comcast.net">bernalites@comcast.net</a>

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 8:49 PM

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Michael Duncan & Tom Richard 224 Elsie St San Francisco, CA 94110 415 920-9211 bernalites@comcast.net

http://mail.google.com/mail/?tf=1&ui=2&ik=41cc4a954b&view=pt&q=Richard&search... 11/16/2007



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

# **Don Ehrlich <violadon@earthlink.net>**To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 4:11 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Dr Don A Ehrlich 806 Shrader Street San Francisco, CA 94117



## **Comment on Tuolumne Diversion Plan**

Don Eichelberger <done7777@sbcglobal.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Oct 3, 2007 at 3:15 PM

I realize this is late, but I hope you add my name in opposition to ANY diversions from the Tuolumne. Thank you.

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Don L. Eichelberger 628 Lyon St., #1 San Francisco, CA 94117

Don Eichelberger DJ Fix Independent Productions

Green Uprising Blog www.greenuprising.blogspot.com

My You Tube

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=ctRp5rGJoUc http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iySwwyZfDgE http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8r9rK8nPREA http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=u5UbnJ0kUqo http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4SktlYTVC1U Donny Fix <u>del.icio.us</u> book marks <u>http://del.icio.us/donnyfix</u>

The Hegelian/Marxist goal is emancipation. Marx said it best in 1843:

"Human emancipation wll only be complete when the real, individual man (sic) is absorbed into himself the abstract citizen; when as an individual man, in his every day life, in his work and in his relationships, he has become a species-being (politically accountabe to the whole); and when he recognizes and realizes his own power as social powers, so that he no longer separates this social power from himself as political power."



#### comments from Ruben Garcia

Ruben Garcia <ruben@globalexchange.org>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon. Oct 1, 2007 at 3:21 PM

October 1, 2007

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer

Water System Improvement Program PEIR

San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Ruben Garcia

Global Exchange



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Peter Gass <petergass@yahoo.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 6:54 PM

October 1 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the

Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency,

and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Peter R. Gass 381 Jersey Street San Francisco, CA 94114

Check out the hottest 2008 models today at Yahoo! Autos. http://autos.yahoo.com/new\_cars.html



# No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Julian Giardinelli <julian@pixelsplice.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:39 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Julian Giardinelli 359 29TH ST. San Francisco, CA 94131





#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# (no subject)

#### Richard Girling <rzgirling@hotmail.com>

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Oct 2, 2007 at 4:52 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFFUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.
LET's Learn to USE LESS and SAVE MORE of the environment!

Sincerely, Richard and Valerie Girling

Help yourself to FREE treats served up daily at the Messenger Café. Stop by today!



## **Tuolumne River**

Sami Goski <sgoski@gmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Sep 24, 2007 at 5:35 PM

September 24, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

•

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sami Goski 517 Ashbury Street San Francisco, CA 94117

Sincerely,

Gmail - No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

http://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=1&ik=41cc4a954b&ui=1&ik=41cc...

#### No More Diversions From The Tuolumne! Inbox



Barry Hermanson <br/>
<br/>
barry@hermansons.com> to me

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Barry Hermanson 2467 28th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94116

Reply - Forward Invite Barry to Gmail

·/ <del></del>	

1 of 2



# **TUOLUMNE RIVER diversion project**

Carole Herron (cherron) <cherron@cisco.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:53 PM Lia Hillr

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report. To solve future water needs at the risk of degrading California's rivers is a mistake. Please reconsider your current plan.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Carole Herron 180 Bocana St. San Francisco, CA 94110



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# **Diverting Tuolumne River's water**

Lia Hillman <a href="mailto:lillman@sfpl.org">hillman@sfpl.org</a> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:42 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Lia Hillman 3566A 22nd Street San Francisco, CA 94114



# **No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!**

Mark Jones <markjones23@gmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:42 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Mark Jones Citizen of San Francisco





#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# **No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!**

# Cassandra Kyle <thegreekseeress@hotmail.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 3:35 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Cassandra L Kyle 83 Parnassus Ave. San Francisco, CA 94117

Discover the new Windows Vista Learn more!



# **Tuolumne River**

Gary Laufman <garyphoto@earthlink.net> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:38 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Gary Laufman 3251 Washington St. #301 San Francisco, Ca. 94115



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# **No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!**

#### joe I <tryingonchickens@hotmail.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Oct 2, 2007 at 5:03 PM

To.

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Joseph Leidner, Vicki Leidner & John Radogno 770 Shotwell St. San Francisco, C.A. 94110

Boo! Scare away worms, viruses and so much more! Try Windows Live OneCare! Try now!



# No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Victoria Lewis <cymrufilms@mindspring.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 3:29 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Victoria Lewis

1370 Sanchez St. san Francisco, CA 94131



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## **Tuolumne River**

Kirk Lumpkin <Kirk@twinberry.net> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 10:27 AM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Though I currently do not live in San Francisco I did live there for over 20 years, still own an apartment there, and will probably live there again in the future.

Sincerely,

Kirk Lumpkin 5505 Macdonald Ave. El Cerrito, CA 94530



# **Tuolumne River**

Michele B. Lundy <michelelundy@gmail.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 11:42 PM

Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Michele Lundy 90 Paradise Avenue San Francisco, Ca 94131

## Septembre CEIVED

SEP 1 7 2007

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies of the Tuolumne River before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts to the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water solution for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural wonder.

Laurie McCann PO Box 1452

Santa Cruz CA 95061

# Public Comments Needed by October 1st to PROTECT THE TUOLUMNE RIVER!

Take action to stop the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) from harming the Tuolumne River by signing this comment letter. Please send it to the Tuolumne River Trust in the enclosed envelope so we can deliver it before the October 1st deadline. You can find more information and take action on our website: www.tuolumne.org Thank you for your support!

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies of the Tuolumne River before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts to the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water solution for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural wonder.

Sincerely,

Name: Mary Louise Mc Dodword

Address: 7 Aquavista Way

City, State, Zip: San FRANCISCO CA 94431

Gmail - No More Diversions From The Tuolumne



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne

2 messages

sara meghrouni <macondorelay@yahoo.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 5:04 PM

Page 1 of 2

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water

conservation, efficiency,

and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in

the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Sara Meghrouni 1252 5th Avenue SF CA 94122

Take the Internet to Go: Yahoo!Go puts the Internet in your pocket: mail, news, photos & more. <a href="http://mobile.yahoo.com/go?refer=1GNXIC">http://mobile.yahoo.com/go?refer=1GNXIC</a>

sara meghrouni <macondorelay@yahoo.com>

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 5:07 PM

http://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=1&ik=41cc4a954b&view=pt&th=1155e09e564aeee9&se... 11/2/2007

#### Gmail - No More Diversions From The Tuolumne

Page 2 of 2

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Shape Yahoo! in your own image. Join our Network Research Panel today! http://surveylink.yahoo.com /gmrs/yahoo\_panel\_invite.asp?a=7

http://mail.google.com/mail/?ui=1&ik=41cc4a954b&view=pt&th=1155e09e564aeee9&se... 11/2/2007



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# **Stop SF Utilities Commission From Destroying The Tuolumne**

galemelton@comcast.net <galemelton@comcast.net> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:40 PM

- > Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!
- > To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer
- > Water System Improvement Program PEIR
- > San Francisco Planning Department
- > Dear Mr. Maltzer:
- > Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from
- > the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the
- > River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne
- > before finishing the report.
- > I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the
- > Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency,
- > and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide
- > permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.
- > Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect
- > this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend
- > on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in
- > the Bay Area will have access to clean water.
- > Sincerely,
- Gale Melton 225 Andover St.
- San Francisco, CA 94110



# No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

writehand@mac.com <writehand@mac.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 4:20 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Mariella de Mey 319 Edna Street San Francisco, CA 94112

#### Mark and Marghe Mills-Thysen

337 Neva Street, Sebastopol, California 95472 Telephone 707-823-1428 RECEIVED

SEP 1 7 2007

September 14, 2007

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department 1650 MV5017 St # 400 San Francisco CA 9 4103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies of the Tuolumne River before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts to the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water solution for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural wonder.

Sincerely,

Mark Mills-Thysen



# **Subject: No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!**

Minvielle, Elan <Elan.Minvielle@ucsf.edu>

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:09 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department Dear Mr. Maltzer

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it, while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Elan Minvielle 504 2nd Ave San Francisco, CA 94118





#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## Don't drain the Tuolumne River

Denis Mosgofian <denism@earthlink.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 4:12 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Denis Mosgofian and family 1227 10th Avenue San Francisco, CA 94122



# Please stop diversions from the Tuolumne River!

Kevin Neeson <neeson@sbcglobal.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:54 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I understand from TheCity.org that your organization is responsible for reviewing and approving the diversion and sale of additional Sierra waters to east bay suburbs. I'm personally concerned that with changes to our environment, it's not clear that such supplies will be available in the future and thus there must be strict limitations put on water usage (not just for new developments, but current users--both residential and business/agriculture). Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Kevin Neeson



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

ISAchad@aol.com <ISAchad@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:39 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report. I use the Tolumne regularely and strongly oppose the potential water diversion.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Chad Nichols 901 Wisconsin Street San Franicsco, CA 94107

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage



#### **Tuolumne River**

Groveland, CA 95321

sunsetinn <sunsetinn@mlode.com> Thu, Sep 27, 2007 at 3:43 PM To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com September 27, 2007 Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103 Dear Mr. Maltzer: Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document. I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area. Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure. Sincerely, Lauren Nickell 33569 Hardin Flat Road



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

erica @ sanfrancisco.com <erica@sanfrancisco.com> Reply-To: erica@sanfrancisco.com To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:08 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Erica Pedersen

Managing Editor SanFrancisco.com 1475 Folsom St., Ste. 200 San Francisco, CA 94103 Tel. 415.552.5588 x209 Fax. 415.552.5587



## **Tuolumne River**

EHPIII@aol.com <EHPIII@aol.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Sep 26, 2007 at 6:43 AM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer

San Francisco Planning Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

The Tuolumne River is very important to myself and my family. Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Ed Pike 421 Congo St San Francisco, CA 94131

See what's new at http://www.aol.com

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# Save the Tuolumne River

krayhill@rcn.com <krayhill@rcn.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 1:00 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Kevin Rayhill



# **No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!**

Reinhardt2@aol.com <Reinhardt2@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 12:40 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Dorothy Reinhardt

\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*\*

See what's new at <a href="http://www.aol.com">http://www.aol.com</a>



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

# jan richman <janrichman@hotmail.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 5:10 PM

To.

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Janine Richman 133 Dolores Street #3 San Francisco, CA 94103

Explore the seven wonders of the world Learn more!



## Please: no more diversions from the Tuolumne!

Mija Riedel <mijariedel@yahoo.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 3:51 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of

the Tuolumne

before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best

ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect

this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Mija Riedel San Francisco, CA

Moody friends. Drama queens. Your life? Nope! - their life, your story. Play Sims Stories at Yahoo! Games. http://sims.yahoo.com/



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# (no subject)

# **Hedi Saraf <hedi@mcn.org>**To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 7:12 PM

To:

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

[Your Name and Address Here] Hedi Saraf 3765 21st Street San Francisco, CA 94114



# **Enough Diversions From The Tuolumne already.**

Patrick Schmitz <cogit@ludicrum.org>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:07 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I am concerned that the environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to divert additional water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. As a citizen of San Francisco and concerned citizen, I urge you to carry out additional studies of the Tuolumne before submitting your report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Patrick L. Schmitz

409 Fair Oaks St. San Francisco, CA 94110

#### RECEIVED

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

er SEP 2.5 2007

9/24/2007

CITY & COUNTY OF S.F.

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

San Francisco, CA 94103

I am a fisherman and an outdoors man who values our rivers and I stand in solidarity with Palo Alto councilman Peter Drekmeier who is Program Director with the Tuolumne River Trust.

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Kent J. Schneeveis 586 College Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94306 (650) 857-9518



# Please protect the Tuolumne River

Tara Schubert <tara@tschubert.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:58 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Tara Schubert

4 Downey St

San Francisco, CA 94117



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

# **RE. Program Environmental Impact Report for the Water System Improvement Program**

Peter Seidman <petsei@earthlink.net>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Sep 26, 2007 at 11:21 AM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Peter Seidman and Bonnie Benard

Gmail - Tuolomne River diversion Page 1 of 2



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## **Tuolomne River diversion**

2 messages

#### Kate Stepan <katestepan@yahoo.com>

Sat. Dec 29, 2007 at 1:54 PM

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

12/29/07

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer

San Francisco Planning Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

#### Sincerely,

Kate Stepan 111 Ave. Del Reposo #2 San Clemente, CA 92672

Never miss a thing. Make Yahoo your home page. http://www.yahoo.com/r/hs

Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com> To: kwhite@esassoc.com

Tue, Jan 15, 2008 at 2:42 PM

http://mail.google.com/mail/?tf=1&ui=2&ik=41cc4a954b&view=pt&search=inbox&th=11... 1/16/2008



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## Protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions

Susan Stern <bubbestern@yahoo.com> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Sep 26, 2007 at 5:00 PM

September 26, 3007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. We urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

We support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Dr. Maury and Susan Stern 939 Carol Lane Lafayette, CA 94549

Fussy? Opinionated? Impossible to please? Perfect. Join Yahoo!'s user panel and lay it on us.



# (no subject)

Olav V. Strawe <strawe@4tfy.com> Reply-To: strawe@4tfy.com To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Wed, Sep 26, 2007 at 4:30 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

I am aware of the tight water situation in Californias Central Valley through a number of recent visits in the area.

So your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water

from the Tuolumne River is a surprising turn in the water policies for this region.

It fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts

I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River

from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way

to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Olav Strawe

Fortify Communications, Inc. 367 9th St., Suite B San Francisco, CA 94103-3831

P: +1 (415) 651-4186

F: +1 (415) 946-3540

C: +1 (650) 861-9151



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## NO MORE DIVERSIONS FROM THE TUOLUMNE!!

Megan Sullivan <sulliblums@earthlink.net> To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Oct 2, 2007 at 12:58 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Megan M. Sullivan



# (no subject)

Ichiapella@juno.com <Ichiapella@juno.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Sun, Sep 30, 2007 at 1:20 AM

Allen Todd 631 Colorado Avenue Palo Alto, CA 94306

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely,

Allen Todd



#### Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## Increased Tuolumne River withdrawals

TerryT1011@aol.com <TerryT1011@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Sep 24, 2007 at 12:23 PM

Sept. 24, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer San Francisco Planning Department 1650 Mission Street, Suite 400 San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

As a water consumer of Tuolumne River water in Palo Alto, I see every day that water conservation is not a priority for my city. As a member of an advisory committee to the Santa Clara Valley Water District, I can see that similar problems exist in every city using Tuolumne water. We have hardly been an attempt on water conservation and re-use.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.

Sincerely, Terry A. Trumbull Professor, Environmental Studies Dept. San Jose State University

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage.



## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne

Catherine.Vowles@kp.org <Catherine.Vowles@kp.org>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:19 PM

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

Catherine Vowles 2714 Fulton St San Francisco, CA 94118



Diana Sokolove <wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com>

## No More Diversions From The Tuolumne!

tesw@aol.com <tesw@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 2:01 PM

To: Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR

San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely, Tes Welborn 2001 Oak St. SF 94117

Email and AIM finally together. You've gotta check out free AOL Mail!



# Please protect the Tuolumne River

Judygardn@aol.com <Judygardn@aol.com>
To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Tue, Sep 25, 2007 at 8:56 PM

September 26, 2007

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer

San Francisco Planning Department

1650 Mission Street, Suite 400

San Francisco, CA 94103

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

ړ

Your environmental review of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River fails to adequately identify and address all of the environmental impacts to the River. I urge you to undertake additional studies before finalizing this document.

I support the alternatives identified in your draft document that protects the Tuolumne River from new diversions. Requiring more water conservation, efficiency, and recycling is the best way to lessen impacts on the Tuolumne River while promoting a sustainable water plan for the Bay Area.

Only by ensuring that healthy amounts of water continue to flow into the Tuolumne River can we protect this irreplaceable natural treasure.


Sincerely,

J. Wong

747 15th Ave.

Menlo Park, CA 94025

See what's new at AOL.com and Make AOL Your Homepage





# sfpuc

#### Ebbe Roe <ebhead1@gmail.com>

To: wsip.peir.comments@gmail.com

Mon, Oct 1, 2007 at 3:21 PM

Paul Maltzer, Environmental Review Officer Water System Improvement Program PEIR San Francisco Planning Department

Dear Mr. Maltzer:

Your environmental review of the SFPUC's plan to take more water from the Tuolumne River does not address all environmental impacts to the River. I strongly urge you to do additional studies of the Tuolumne before finishing the report.

I support the alternatives in your draft document that protect the Tuolumne from any new diversions. More water conservation, efficiency, and recycling are the best ways to both protect the River, and provide permanently sustainable water for the San Francisco Bay Area.

Only by keeping a healthy water flow in the Tuolumne, can we protect this beautiful river and the diverse watershed and wildlife which depend on it; while at the same time making sure that all future generations in the Bay Area will have access to clean water.

Sincerely,

ebbe roe yovino-smith 227 montcalm st sf ca 94110 415 846 8284